International Journal of Pedagogical Development and Lifelong Learning

2021, 2(1), ep2104 ISSN 2732-4699 (Online)

https://www.ijpdll.com/ Research Article

OPEN ACCESS

The Influence of Stress on Professional Satisfaction of Multigrade Teachers: Evidence from the Philippines

Erwin E. Rotas 1* (D)

¹Teacher I, Department of Education, General Santos City, SOCCSKSARGEN, PHILIPPINES

*Corresponding Author: erwinemperadorotas@gmail.com

Citation: Rotas, E. E. (2021). The Influence of Stress on Professional Satisfaction of Multigrade Teachers: Evidence from the Philippines. International Journal of Pedagogical Development and Lifelong Learning, 2(1), ep2104. https://doi.org/10.30935/ijpdll/9732

ABSTRACT

While numerous studies have come to probe on the stress of monograde teachers, there is an unheard side of another considerable small group of teachers whose stress is distinct in the pursuit of educational success. Multigrade teachers are burdened with numerous teaching workloads and dilemmas causing them stress. This study endeavored to determine the influence of stress on professional satisfaction of multigrade teachers. It employed correlation research design involving thirty (30) purposively selected multigrade teachers in the Schools Division of General Santos City (GSC), Southern Philippines. Employing the survey method, tailored questionnaires were utilized to gather the needed data. The statistical tools employed in the analysis were weighted mean and Pearson Product Moment Correlation Coefficient. Moderate level of stress and high level of professional satisfaction are gained among the multigrade teachers surveyed. It was further found out that there is no significant relationship between stress and professional satisfaction, providing evidence that stress may not be a significant factor that affects satisfaction. This result offers unique implications in theory, practice, and research which are discussed in the study.

Keywords: stress, satisfaction, multigrade
Received: 13 Nov. 2020 ◆ Accepted: 18 Dec. 2020

INTRODUCTION

Teaching is a process of inculcating ideas, skills, and desirable values to the learners. Obviously, it is considered as one of the challenging fields of profession that needs multi-tasking strategies in order to successfully deal with difficulties. It may include managing the learners with very much unlike behaviors. This also entails utilizing multi-teaching strategies and various instructional devices since no learners are alike when it comes to learning concepts. Most importantly, it necessitates careful curriculum and lesson planning responsive to their learning needs and to the demands of the society where they live in.

It supports such stance that teachers as dispensers of knowledge are undeniably exposed to heavy teaching workloads causing them stress (Morgan & Craith, 2015). Stress is often the outcome of classroom experiences particularly the heightening challenge of unruly behavior of pupils. It can be further noted that workload stress brings influence on many aspects of the teaching condition (Day et al., 2007 on cognitive achievement; Morgan & Craith, 2015 on school motivation; and Rotas & Cahapay, 2020 on results-based performance).

Theoretically, Hackman and Oldham (1974) explained that work characteristics influence employee's responses to work. They noted that workload task significance is important to encourage the feeling that the work is meaningful and rewarding. If the work has a substantial

impact on the lives of the employees, they are motivated and they work with satisfaction. On the other hand, Weiss and Copranzano (1996) theorized that affective events happening at work including mental states and reactions to the incidents at work cause psychological impact and leads to dissatisfaction. If the employee finds the work exhausting and unworthy, he or she develops negative perceptions towards it. Both theories reach a common perspective that work stress negatively affects satisfaction. If not given appropriate actions, Kyriacou and Chen (2004) emphasized that teachers will be incapable to develop positive work outcomes resulting to ineffective education.

A synthesis of scholarly-related works on the correlation of two variables uncovered that there is a significant relationship between stress and satisfaction (Ismail et al., 2015; Koros et al., 2018; Nathaniel et al., 2016; Sadeghi & Sa'adatpourvahid, 2016; Schonfeld et al., 2017; Skaalvik & Skaalvik, 2015; Toropova et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020; Yew et al., 2015). On the other hand, studies (e.g., Legaspi et al., 2017; Necsoi, 2011; Schwarzer & Hallum, 2008; Shen et al., 2018) concluded negative correlation between stress and professional satisfaction. Despite results of high levels of teachers' stress in their respective workplaces, still many teachers find personal satisfaction towards their profession. Though there are numerous scholarly works on teachers' stress and satisfaction, it still presents literature gaps.

Most studies probed on the stress of monograde elementary teachers (e.g., Asaloei, Wolomasi, & Werang, 2020; Harish & Prabha,

2019; Kyriacou & Chien, 2004; Roxas, 2009); no recorded studies as far as this paper is concerned examined the stress particularly of multigrade teachers. Multigrade classrooms calls for a single teacher to manage pupils of more than one grade level in only one classroom because of lack of physical facilities and instructional devices (Little, Pridmore, Bajracharya, & Vithanaphathivana, 2007). Lesson and curriculum implementation poses difficulties and time management issues for teachers in this setting (Msimanga, 2019). Despite these pressures, multigrade teachers are expected to practice excellent classroom management techniques in teaching the concepts and to economically use instructional resources twice as much of regular teachers (Jordaan, 2006). Multigrade settings also foster the issue of dealing with very diverse learners, and even worse, with troublesome behaviors (UNESCO, 2011). Teachers in this setting also need to create innovations to improve the teaching and learning process (Vicente, 2012). As a result, multigrade teachers encounter more distinctive stressful events compared to the regular teachers in the delivery of quality instruction.

On a positive side, opportunities can be created out of these issues because multigrade schooling can be a key to uplift the living conditions of the children who are economically-disadvantaged in the society (Enayati, Movahedian, & Zameni, 2016). Multigrade classes also encourage gender equality and greater classroom engagement among pupils. They are also geared to develop high academic motivation and self-esteem (Veenman, 1995). Also, it makes the educational delivery fast and accessible in remote areas (Little, 2004). Hence, it will help increase the chances of educational success of children in the society.

This study endeavors to contribute to literature by studying the relationship between stress and satisfaction especially in multigrade setting. This scientific undertaking will significantly contribute to theory, practice and research regarding the association of these two equally important variables in the field of teaching. Quality delivery of instruction is believed to be the key foundation of every educational success. Significantly, this study wished to help policymakers and school stakeholders in instituting effective school programs and policies and in making adjustments in the curriculum that will ease the tasks of teachers. Furthermore, it will open doors for opportunities for multigrade schools in terms of provision of facilitative instructional paraphernalia, technologies, and high government funding.

Given these complexities experienced in multigrade settings, a scientific inquiry of the influence of stress on the professional satisfaction of multigrade teachers inspired the researcher to pursue the study. Specifically, this study provided answers to the following research questions:

- 1. What is the level of stress of multigrade teachers?
- 2. What is the level of professional satisfaction of multigrade teachers?
- 3. Is there a significant relationship between stress and professional satisfaction of multigrade teachers?

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study is primarily anchored on Job Characteristics Theory by Hackman and Oldham (1974) and Affective Events Theory by Weiss and Copranzano (1996). They reach a common ground that the characteristics of the work including the affective events that occur in

the workplace are significant factors that influence satisfaction. Both theories also proposed that negative reactions towards work directly influences job satisfaction. If this happens continuously, it will result to accumulation of work-related stress at work. As a result, an individual develops negative perceptions and experience psychological pressures. The views of these theories are already being proven in several workload stress studies conducted revealing that high level of psychological stress negatively influences the job satisfaction of individuals (Coelho & Augusto, 2010; De Nobile et al., 2013; Katsikea et al., 2011; Wang, 2012). Hence, this paper is grounded on these theories, attempting to describe the influence of stress on the professional satisfaction of multigrade teachers.

It is assumed, based on the given theories, that stress is a significant factor that influences professional satisfaction. Thus, this study operationalizes that higher stress leads to lower professional of satisfaction of multigrade teachers. The framework was formed based on the problems presented in the study that endeavors to provide answer on the association between stress and professional satisfaction. With regards to the influence of stress to teacher's professional satisfaction, numerous scholarly works had been published as mentioned in this study to support the theory.

METHODOLOGY

This study employed correlational research design. Correlational study is appropriate for this study because it aimed to measure two variables from the same group of aspects and eventually determine their level of association (Lomax & Li, 2013).

It involved thirty (30) purposively selected multigrade teachers in selected schools of GSC, Southern Philippines employed during the school year 2015-2016. These teachers were identified as handling two or more grades according to the Schools Division of GSC (DepEd Gensan Survey Aggregated Data, 2015). They are also skilled in handling multigrade classes because of the workshops and trainings they gained from their professional development undertakings. They were selected regardless of tribe, age, gender, experience, educational attainment, and rank. Moreover, it is noted that this sample does not represent the entire population of multigrade teachers, but is considered acceptable to satisfy the purpose of the study. It does not intend to generalize the results but to quantitatively describe the stress and professional satisfaction of multigrade teachers and test their association.

This study was conducted within the context of selected schools in GSC that employ multigrade classes in schools commonly found in the far-flung areas of the city. Based on the records of Department of Education Region 12 Survey Aggregated Data (2015), there were ten (10) multigrade schools in the locality. These multigrade schools in some parts of the city were formed because of teacher shortage, distance of home from school, lack of budget for additional school infrastructures.

Stress Scale (35 items) and Professional Satisfaction Scale (20 items) were utilized to collect the data for this study. The items in the questionnaires were formulated based on the readings of researcher of the literature. The psychometric properties of both questionnaires were also established. These were evaluated by the expert validators to establish content validity. Both obtained a weighted mean of 4.84 which means very highly valid questionnaires. A pilot testing was also

Table 1. Stress of Multigrade Teachers

Indicators	Mean	Description	Verbal Interpretation
I am stressed in			
1. demonstrating the value for learning.	3.07	Neutral	Moderate
2. implementing school policies and procedures.	3.07	Neutral	Moderate
3. demonstrating punctuality at going to school.	3.07	Neutral	Moderate
4. maintaining appropriate appearance at school.	3.27	Neutral	Moderate
5. being careful about the effect of my behaviour on pupils.	3.23	Neutral	Moderate
6. creating an environment that promotes fairness	3.37	Neutral	Moderate
7. establishing a safe and conducive to learning classroom environment	3.27	Neutral	Moderate
8. communicating higher learning expectations to each learner	3.37	Neutral	Moderate
 handling behavior problems quickly and with due respect to children's rights; and giving timely feedback to reinforce appropriate to learners' behaviour. 	3.67	Agree	High
10. guiding individual learner requiring development of appropriate social and learning behavior; and communicating school policies and procedures for classroom behavior and seeing to it that they are followed.	3.27	Neutral	Moderate
11. using information on the learning styles and needs of the learners to design and select learning experiences and establishing	3.10	Neutral	Moderate
goals that define appropriate expectations for all		27 1	
12. pacing lessons appropriate to needs and/or abilities of learners; and providing differentiated activities for learners.	3.17	Neutral	Moderate
13. initiating other learning approaches for learners whose needs have not been met by usual approaches; and showing sensitivity to multi-cultural background of the learners.	3.27	Neutral	Moderate
14. setting clear, challenging and achievable expectation on the holistic development of all learners; and identifying learning gaps and takes action to enable learners to catch up.	3.13	Neutral	Moderate
15. employing integrative and interactive strategies for meaningful and holistic development of learners; being sensitive to unusual behavior of learners and taking appropriate action; and providing opportunities to enhance learners growth in all aspects.	3.17	Neutral	Moderate
16. delivering accurate and updated content knowledge using appropriate methodologies, approaches and strategies and integrating	3.20	Neutral	Moderate
language, literacy and quantitative skill development and values in the subject areas.			
17. aligning lesson objectives with the teaching methods, learning activities and instructional materials or resources appropriate to	3.13	Neutral	Moderate
learners and integrating relevant scholarly works and ideas to enrich the lesson.	2.07	NT . 1	36.1
18. communicating clear learning goals for the lessons that are appropriate for learners.	2.97	Neutral	Moderate
19. implementing the curriculum to promote holistic development of the learners.	3.20	Neutral	Moderate
 selecting teaching methods, learning activities and instructional materials or resources appropriate to learners and aligned to objectives of the lesson. 	3.53	Agree	High
communicating promptly and clearly the learners' progress to parents, superiors and to learners themselves.	3.27	Neutral	Moderate
 preparing formative and summative tests and employing non-traditional assessment techniques (portfolio, authentic performance, journals, rubrics, etc.) 	3.43	Neutral	Moderate
23. interpreting and using assessment results to improve teaching and learning and identifying teaching-learning difficulties and their possible causes to address gaps.	3.33	Neutral	Moderate
24. providing timely and accurate feedback to learners to encourage them to reflect on and monitor their own learning growth.	3.37	Neutral	Moderate
25. keeping accurate records of grades with performance levels of learners.	3.23	Neutral	Moderate
26. involving community in sharing accountability for the learners' achievement.	3.17	Neutral	Moderate
27. using community human and materials resources to support learning.	3.43	Neutral	Moderate
28. using the community as a laboratory for learning.	3.20	Neutral	Moderate
29. participating in community activities that promote learning.	3.10	Neutral	Moderate
30. using community networks to publicize school events and achievements	3.17	Neutral	Moderate
31. maintaining stature and behavior that upholds the dignity of teaching.	3.00	Neutral	Moderate
32. allocating time for personal and professional development (e.g. participating in educational seminars and workshops, enrolling	2.00	1.04(14)	1.15461416
in short-term courses and post graduate programs, reading educational materials regularly, and engaging in educational research).	3.07	Neutral	Moderate
33. manifesting personal qualities such as enthusiasm, flexibility and caring and articulating and demonstrating one's personal	3.13	Neutral	Moderate
philosophy of teaching. 34. participating actively in professional teacher organizations and keeping abreast with recent developments in education.	3.03	Neutral	Moderate
35. reflecting on the extent of the attainment of students learning goals.	3.30	Neutral	Moderate
Overall Mean	3.22	Neutral	Moderate

N=30

administered to ensure reliability. Both questionnaires garnered excellent reliability remarks with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.99 and 0.91, respectively.

The analysis of gathered data utilized weighted mean and standard deviation. Five (5) point Likert scale was also used to measure and describe the two variables. Hence, Pearson Product Moment Coefficient Correlation was used to calculate the strength of a linear association between the two variables. In this study, Pearson r is

necessary to use since the gathered data met the normality and variability assumptions. All tests were done at 0.05 level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 displays the level of stress of the multigrade teachers on various stressors.

The results reveal that almost all (33 out of 35) stressors were rated neutral by the multigrade teachers described as moderate stress. It indicates that despite the tasks and challenges encountered by the

teachers in the practice of their profession, they can still manage to withstand the difficulties they face every day going to and in the workplace.

Stressors 1 to 5 are all pertained to the tasks of teachers as positive and powerful role models in the school. Looking at the results, they described these stressors as manageable tasks. It validates that teachers are already used to abide with the mandates required by the Constitution on the maintenance of dignity and reputation in and out their work stations (Code of Ethics for Professional Teachers, 1997). The provisions of this law requires that teachers should abide with the highest standard of ethical and moral philosophies.

Stressors 6 to 10 can be attributed to the role of teachers in creating a conducive classroom environment for the learners. The results display that four (4) of its stressors were rated neutral by the multigrade teachers. It means that teachers can able to withstand the pressures of providing a conducive learning space in the multigrade setting where they are in. It can be further gleaned that teachers wherever they are, can still manage to maintain a positive social and psychological environment considering that learning styles vary from one learner to another. This further supports that though teachers are being placed in these settings, they can manageably facilitate the individual needs of their learners (Little, 2006).

Stressors 11 to 15 can all be summarized as the tasks of teachers in addressing the diverse learning needs of the learners in school with utmost sensitivity. The results disclose that teachers' stress in all five (5) indicators are neutral in terms of responding to issues and concerns about the individual differences of learners (e.g. background, behavior, abilities, and upbringing). This indicates that teachers can bridge gaps between learners even there are complexities and dilemmas in the management of diversity. These peculiarities are not only limited to ethnicity and language but also to subcultures within the class possessing even more distinctive characteristics and psychological make-up (Banks & Banks, 2009).

Meanwhile, stressors 16 to 20 can be affiliated to the role of teachers in providing suitable and contextualized learning programs and appropriate textual and visual devices for the learners to meet desired curriculum goals. Teachers are neutral in 4 of its stressors but they agree that they experience stress in selecting appropriate teaching methods, learning activities, and instructional devices to the learners (M=3.53). It can be drawn from the results that teachers can generally adapt to the challenges of differentiated instruction to address the learning needs of the pupils of different ages. It only proves that multigrade teachers can manage to surpass the impediments of meeting the needs of his or her class with very unique composition when it comes to suitable teaching methodologies and activities elaborated by Ryder (2009).

Consequently, stressors 21 to 25 can be all about the task of teachers in using assessment data, integrating assessment procedures and reporting learners' progress to improve instruction and class achievement. All stressors were rated neutral by multigrade teachers which means that they can manage to deal with assessments tasks including preparation of quizzes and examinations, recording of scores, and evaluating the scores. It can be further noted from the result that despite changes in assessment modes implemented by the Department of Education, teachers can still manage to adjust and comply in multigrade settings (Dysthe, 2006; Little 2006).

Stressors 26 to 30 can be condensed to the idea of the task of teachers in building connections with school stakeholders to support

school programs for possible funding and procurements of physical facilities and learning resources for utilization by learners. Results unfold that teachers meet moderate stress levels. It can be gleaned that teachers can respond to the challenge of maintaining sustainable networks with non-government organizations and foundations to provide the needs of the learners in multigrade settings. Despite the fact that they are least prioritized and insufficiently provided by the Department of Education, they managed to maintain these partnerships to support school operations (Department of Education, 2011).

Finally, stressors 31 to 35 are regards to the role of teachers to undergo professional development to improve his or her teaching skills. A moderate level of stress in all stressors among multigrade teachers is gained. This implies that teachers find concern for professional growth and improvement as manageable tasks. Inspite of the challenges posed by Bilbao et al., (2012), multigrade teachers are still able to submit themselves to the continuing call for professional improvements to meet desired learners' learning goals.

Overall, the stress of multigrade teachers is at "normal" level described as moderate level (M=3.22). This indicates that multigrade teachers can still manage to deal the different stressful circumstances that they may encounter in multigrade settings. Though most of stressors were described manageable by multigrade teachers, stressors pertaining to dealing with learners' behavioral problems and the selection of appropriate teaching methodologies, learning activities and resources obtained high scores (where M=3.67; 3.53, respectively). This could be attributed to the reason that behavior issues of pupils in multigrade class vary across ages in terms of interest, attention span, attitude, and learning styles. This corroborates the idea that the heightening challenge of learners' troublesome behavior displayed in the class is a major factor of stress (Morgan & Craith, 2015). Classroom management in multigrade settings has long been a problem and a challenge for school stakeholders (SEAMEO INNOTECH, 2011). Meanwhile, selection of developmentally appropriate teaching methodologies and learning activities for multigrade learners are also issues encountered by multigrade teachers in these settings. This includes complex curriculum and lesson planning, subject combinations, and overcrowding of learning competencies as a result of combining all learners of multi-ages in one classroom (Msimanga, 2019). All of these prove that these two stressors are highly stressful tasks for multigrade teachers.

The overall result of this study is the same with the studies (Eres & Atanasoska, 2011; Roxas, 2009) on regular teacher participants where he found out that stress is at "normal" level and they usually experience stress in the workplace but can just withstand the challenges of stressful events. It is observed that though multigrade classroom poses enormous stress due to the overwhelming number of tasks and challenges (Olivares & Jimenez, 2015), multigrade teachers still find silver linings out from the difficulties they need to handle every day (Brown, 2010). In the study of Msimanga (2019), the multigrade teachers voiced out that multigrade classrooms has come to experience slight improvements in terms of provision of resources (i.e., installation of technologies to facilitate learning) and that makes every day struggles manageable for them.

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} \textbf{Table 2} & displays & the professional satisfaction & of multigrade \\ teachers. \end{tabular}$

The data show that multigrade teachers are **often** satisfied with the quality relationship they have with their school head which supports

Table 2. Professional Satisfaction of Multigrade Teachers

Indicator	Mean	Description	Verbal Description
1. I find my profession rewarding, fulfilling, and satisfying.	3.70	Often	High
2. The profession and the working environment is satisfying.	3.33	Sometimes	Medium
3. I have a quality relationship with my school head/principal which supports me in accomplishing my teaching tasks.	4.13	Often	High
4. I have higher degree of fulfilment in multigrade teaching.	3.67	Often	High
5. I am satisfied with the balanced works in triangle to school administrator, pupils and parents.	3.70	Often	High
6. I am able to use my talents in teaching and I have a regular and timely feedback on how I am doing.	3.77	Often	High
7. I find multigrade teaching important and meaningful.	3.90	Often	High
8. I am receiving just compensation for my profession.	3.80	Often	High
9. I am satisfied with the working environment particularly the classroom, office, equipment and facilities.	3.43	Sometimes	Medium
10. I am satisfied with the school policies, administration and procedures.	3.63	Often	High
11. The quality of the physical environment is satisfying.	3.10	Sometimes	Medium
12. The day to day classroom and school activities are fulfilling.	3.57	Often	High
13. I have an adequate time for my lesson planning and preparation for instruction.	3.60	Often	High
14. The school principal consistently uses both verbal and nonverbal forms of communications to pass messages to teachers.	4.00	Often	High
15. Multigrade teaching gives me a feeling of personal accomplishment.	4.00	Often	High
16. Our Learning Action Cell (LAC) session helps us improve our teaching skills.	4.03	Often	High
17. I am satisfied with the seminars and trainings that help us implement multigrade classes.	4.07	Often	High
18. I am satisfied with the educational programs which support us implement multigrade classes.	3.73	Often	High
19. Our principal consistently provides technical assistance which help us accomplish our teaching tasks.	3.70	Often	High
20. I am satisfied with the incentives provided by the department in teaching multigrade classes.	3.57	Often	High
Overall Mean	3.72	Often	High

N=30

Table 3. Relationship between Stress and Professional Satisfaction

Variables Correlated	r value	p value	Extent of Relationship	Remarks
Workload Stress and Professional Satisfaction	.192	.308	Negligible	Not Significant

N = 30

them in accomplishing their teaching tasks (M=4.13); seminars and trainings which help them implement multigrade classes (M=4.07); Learning Action Cell sessions which help them improve their teaching skills (M=4.03); multigrade teaching which gives them a feeling of personal accomplishment (M=4.00); and their school principal who consistently uses both verbal and nonverbal forms of communications to pass messages to them (M=4.00). The trend of the results suggests that multigrade teachers were mainly satisfied by the technical support of their principal and fellow teachers. This finding corroborates the results of previous studies (e.g., Gopalkrishnan, 2009; Li et al., 2008; Zhongshan, 2008) who found out that higher professional satisfaction existed between teachers and their principal. It further revealed that teachers were much satisfied with their principal compared to other indicators of satisfaction.

Consequently, multigrade teachers are also **often** satisfied with multigrade teaching as important and meaningful job (M=3.90); just compensation they receive for their profession (M=3.80); use of their talents in teaching and the regular and timely feedback they receive on how they are doing (M=3.77); and the educational programs which support them implement multigrade classes (M=3.73). It can be drawn from these results that they are also highly satisfied about the job, salary and programs. Tasnim (2006) supports that teachers tend to develop high satisfaction if they are motivated by the work itself, pay and the educational trainings.

However, the teachers are **sometimes** satisfied with the quality of physical environment (M=3.10); the profession and working environment (M=3.33); and the working environment particularly the classroom, office, equipment, and facilities (M=3.43). It can be

obviously noted from the results that items in which the teachers got lowest satisfaction all pertained to the physical and working environment. This can be explained by the fact that multigrade schools are underserved with the provision of physical facilities. In the survey conducted by the Department of Education (2011) about the profile of the multigrade schools in the Philippines, multigrade teachers are deprived of having well-organized classrooms, flexible chairs and storage areas as not 100% of the surveyed schools have these. 90.50% of the surveyed schools have well-organized classrooms, 85% have flexible chairs and 74.50% have storage areas and claimed that most of these furniture pieces are five to ten years old which needs repair or replacement (37.50%). Furthermore, the status of the working environment and the provision of physical amenities are said to be poor primarily because of the socio-economic disposition of the place where these schools are located.

As a whole, the professional satisfaction of teachers obtained a weighted mean of 3.72 described as **often**. The result of the study implies that teachers have positive, high level of appreciation or pleasure towards their work or professional experience. Further, the trend shows that the multigrade teachers were mainly satisfied with the administrative and collegial support and least on physical and working environment. This is supported by Brown (2010) that strong top management support and positive relationship with colleagues are the main drivers of satisfaction and motivation in multigrade settings. Congruent with the results of previous studies (e.g., Bhandari & Patil, 2009; Demirtas, 2010; Usop et al., 2013), it was found out that elementary teachers generally display high level of job satisfaction.

Table 3 displays the relationship between two correlated variables.

After the bivariate analysis, the result revealed that there is no significant relationship between the stress and professional satisfaction of multigrade teachers. This is evident in the r value of .192 and p value of .308 which is greatly more than the margin error of 0.05.

This shows that stress may not necessary influence the professional satisfaction of multigrade teachers. Looking at the first and second results, it is noteworthy that teachers have high level of satisfaction due to manageable level of stress at work. Based on logical interpretation, it can be gleaned that stress may not be a significant factor that could affect satisfaction. There are other factors that affect their satisfaction.

In the same vein, the findings of the study further corroborate with Necsoi (2011), Chaplain (2008), Schwarzer and Hallum (2008) which identified that there is a negative correlation between workload stress and professional satisfaction. Academic tenure showed substantially greater professional satisfaction among them. Despite reports of high levels of teachers' workload stress, many teachers find personal satisfaction in their work. Based on these studies, they found out that teachers managed to counterbalance the excessive workloads by means of other factors when evaluating their work on its entirety. These factors include personality traits related to performance dispositions, the tendency to select appropriate coping strategies, and maintaining a healthy work lifestyle. Interestingly, if these factors are cultivated, these lead to neuropsychological stability, sense of coherence, optimism, and hardiness which are indicators of high satisfaction (Paulik, 2012). This notion is proven in various studies conducted in teaching setting (e.g., Aftab and Khatoon (2012); Legaspi et al., (2017); Shen et al., 2018). On the other hand, other factors such as weak social interaction (Sahito & Vaisanen, 2017), limited promotional opportunities (Nyange, 2013); and working environmental conditions (Gikunda, 2016) may be significant factors that affect the professional satisfaction of teachers.

CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND IMPLICATIONS

Based on the findings of this study, it has been concluded that multigrade teachers have moderate level of stress and can cope with the stresses and strains of teaching. However, it is recommended that school heads may conduct seminar on handling behavior problems and selecting appropriate teaching methods, learning activities and instructional materials in teaching multigrade which teachers find as highly stressful tasks.

Moreover, it has also been found out that the multigrade teachers have high level of appreciation towards their work; however, it is recommended that stakeholders' forum should be conducted to address the needs of multigrade schools for a healthy physical and working environment.

Lastly, the stress of multigrade teachers has no influence to their professional satisfaction. Studies may be conducted to further test and probe the theory about the relationship between the two variables in other settings with wider scope. Situational analysis should also be conducted to study the high professional satisfaction of multigrade teachers.

Considering the limitation of this research focusing only on the association between stress and satisfaction, it will be more comprehensive to explore other factors as satisfaction is a composite result of many events that surround the workplace.

REFERENCES

- Aftab, M., & Khatoon, T. (2012). Demographic Differences and Occupational Stress of Secondary School Teachers. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 8(5), 159-175.
- Asaloei, S. I., Wolomasi, A. K., & Werang, B. R. (2020). Work-related stress and performance among primary school teachers. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 9(2), 352-358. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v9i2.20335
- Banks, J. A., & Banks, C. A. M. G. (2009). Multicultural education: Issues and perspectives (7th ed.). Hoboken, N. J: Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1080/23770031.2009.11102861
- Bhandari, R. A., & Patil, N. H. (2009). Job satisfaction of women teachers. *Edutracks*, 8(11), 42-44.
- Bilbao, P., Corpuz, B., Llagas, A., & Salandanan, G. (2012). *The teaching profession* (2nd ed.). Lorimar Publishing, Inc.
- Brown, B. A. (2010). Multigrade Teaching: A Review of Issues, Trends and Practices. *Implications for Teacher Education in South Africa*. https://www.academia.edu/23500944/Multigrade_Teaching
- Chaplain, R. P. (1995). Stress and job satisfaction: a study of English primary school teachers. *Educational Psychology*, *15*(4) 473-489. https://doi.org/10.1080/0144341950150409
- Coelho, F., & Augusto, M. (2010) Job Characteristics and the Creativity of Frontline Service Employees. *Journal of Service Research*, *13*(4), 426-437. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670510369379
- Day, C., Sammons, P., Stobart, G., Kington, A., & Gu, Q. (2007).
 Teachers Matter: Connecting Lives, Work, and Effectiveness.
 Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- De Nobile, J. J., & Mc Cormick, J. (2008). Job satisfaction and occupational stress in Catholic primary schools. *Educational Management Administration and Leadership*, 36(1), 101-122. https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143207084063
- Department of Education (2011). *Profile of multi-grade schools in the Philippines*. Retrieved from http://seameo-innotech.org
- Department of Education Region 12 Survey Aggregated Data (2015).

 Data on Multigrade Schools in General Santos City. Retrieved from Deped Gensan Survey Records SY 2015-2016.
- Enayati, T., Movahedian, M. & Zameni, F. (2016). Classroom management strategies of multigrade schools with emphasis on the role of technology. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Virtual Learning in Medical Sciences*, 7(2), 165-179. https://doi.org/10.5812/ijvlms. 12161
- Eres, F., & Atanasoska, T. (2011). Occupational stress of teachers: A comparative study between Turkey and Macedonia. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 1(7), 59-61. Retrieved from http://www.ijhssnet.com/journals/Vol._1_No._7_[Special_Issue_June_2011]/9.pdf
- Gikunda, M. G. (2016). Factors Influencing Teacher Performance in the Implementation of Geography Curriculum in Public Secondary Schools in Imenti South Sub County, Meru County Kenya. University of Nairobi. Retrieved from https://bit.ly/34led2D
- Gopalkrishnan, V. (2009). Determinants of job satisfaction of self-financing college teachers. *Journal of Community Psychology and Research*, 26(3), 300-310.

- Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. B. (1974). The job diagnostic survey: An instrument for the diagnosis of jobs and the evaluation of job paradigm projects. Yale University, Department of Administrative Sciences, New Haven.
- Harish, K. A., & Prabha, B. J. (2019). Performance on the work-related stress and job contentment of primary school teachers of government and private sectors. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 8(2), 143-147. https://doi.org/ 10.35940/ijrte.B1027.0782S219
- Ismail, A., Yao, A., & Yunus, N. K. Y. (2009). Relationship between occupational stress and job satisfaction: An empirical study in Malaysia. *Romanian Economic Journal*, 12(34), 3-30.
- Jordaan, V. A. (2006). Facilitators' course on multi-grade teaching. Facilitators guide with resources. Bloemfontein, South Africa: Free State Department of Education.
- Kayastha, D. P., & Kayastha, R. (2012). A study of job satisfaction among teachers, higher secondary school of Nepal. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 01(01), 41-44. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v1i1.752
- Koros, E. J., Momanyi, J. M. & Chakua, C. K. (2018). The impact of occupational stress on job satisfaction among Kenyan primary school teachers. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management*, 6(1), 51-56. https://doi.org/10.18535/ijsrm/v6i1.el08
- Kyriacou, C., & Chien, P. (2004). Teacher stress in Taiwanese primary school. *Journal of Education Enquiry*, *5*(2), 86-104. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237285544
- Legaspi, J. R., Molanida, C., Molina, R. J., & Pescador, L. C. (2017). Work stress and job satisfaction of teachers in Candon National High School. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/ 324528502
- Li, W., Wright, P., Rukavina, P. B., & Pickering, M. (2008). Measuring students' perceptions of personal and social responsibility and its relationship to enjoyment in urban physical education. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education*, 27, 167-178. https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.27.2.167
- Little, A. W. (2001). Multigrade teaching: Towards an international research and policy agenda. *International Journal of Educational development*, 21(6), 477-479. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0738-0593(01)00010-4
- Little, A. W. (2006). Education for all and multigrade teaching: Challenges and opportunities. Springer Science & Business Media. Retrieved from https://goo.gl/ryxEWp
- Little, A. W., Pridmore, P., Bajracharya, H., & Vithanaphathivana, M. (2007). *Learning and teaching in multigrade settings*. [Online].
- Lomax, R. & Li, J. (2013). Correlational research: Definitions of quantitative methods of research.
- Maslow, A. H. (1943). A theory of human motivation. *Psychological Review*, 50(4), 370-396. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0054346
- Morgan, M., & Craith, D. C. (2015). Workload, stress and resilience of primary teachers: Report of a survey of INTO members [Online].

 Retrieved from https://www.into.ie/app/uploads/2019/07/
 WorkloadReport_Sept15.pdf
- Msimanga, M. R. (2019). Managing the use of resources in multi-grade classrooms. *South African Journal of Education*, *39*(3), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.15700/saje.v39n3a1599

- Nathaniel, P., Sandilos, L. E., Pendergast, L., & Mankin, A. (2016).

 Teacher stress, teaching-efficacy, and job satisfaction in response to test-based educational accountability policies. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 50, 308-317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2016.08.001
- Necsoi, D. V. (2011) Stress and Job Satisfaction among University Teachers. International Conference of Scientific Paper AFASES, 320-325. Retrieved from http://www.afahc.ro/ro/afases/2011/socio/ Necsoi.pdf
- Nyange, N. M. (2013). Factors Influencing Teachers Job Satisfaction in Public Secondary Schools in Voi District, Kenya (Unpublished Master Thesis). Kenyatta University, Nairobi, Kenya.
- Olivares P. A., & Jiménez A. B. (2015). Teaching strategies and space organization in multigrade classrooms. *Journal of Education*, *3*(2), 58-77.
- Paulik, K. (2012). Job satisfaction and stress of teachers. *The New Educational Review*, 30(4), 138-149. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/287165205_Job_satisfaction_and_stress_among_teachers
- Rotas, E. E., & Cahapay, M. B. (2020). Workload stress and results-based performance of multigrade teachers. *Journal of Studies in Education*, 10(2), 68-80. https://doi.org/10.5296/jse.v10i2.16874
- Roxas, C. (2009). Stress among public elementary school teachers. *Journal of Research Studies in Psychology*, 1(4), 86-108. https://doi.org/10.1.1.683.3910
- Sahegdi, K., & Sa'adatpourvahid, M. (2016). EFL teachers' stress and job satisfaction: What contribution can teacher education make? *Iranian Journal of Language Teaching Research 4*(3), 75-96. Retrieved from https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1127347.pdf
- Sahito, Z., & Vaisanen, P. (2017). Factors affecting job satisfaction of teacher educators: Empirical evidence from the universities of Sindh Province of Pakistan. *Journal of Teacher Education and Educators*, 6(1), 5-30. https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v6n4p122
- Schonfeld, I. S., Bianchi, R., & Jones, P. L. (2017). Consequences of job stress for the mental health of teachers. *Educator Stress*, 55-75. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-53053-6_3
- Schwarzer, R., & Hallum, S. (2008). Perceived teacher self-efficacy as a predictor of job stress and burnout. *Applied Psychology*, *57*(1), 152-171. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.2008.00359.x
- SEAMEO INNOTECH (2011). Profile of multi-grade schools in the Philippines [Online]. Retrieved from https://serp-p.pids.gov.ph/serp-p/details.php?pid=5274¶m=SEAMEO+INNOTECH
- Shen, H. J., Basri, R., & Asimiran, S. (2018). Relationship between Job Stress and Job Satisfaction among Teachers in Private and International School in Malaysia. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 8(12), 275-286. https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v8-i12/5012
- Skaalvik, E. M., & Skaalvik, S. (2015). Job satisfaction, stress and coping strategies in the teaching profession—What do teachers say? *International Education Studies*, 8(3), 181-192. https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v8n3p181
- Tasnim, S. (2006). Job satisfaction among female teachers: A study on primary schools in Bangladesh, Department of Administration and Organization Theory. The University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway.

- Toropova, A., Myrberg, E. & Johansson, S. (2019). Teacher job satisfaction: The importance of school working conditions and teacher characteristics. *Educational Review,* 1-27. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2019.1705247
- Usop, Askandar, Kadtong & Usop (2013). Work performance and job satisfaction among teachers. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 03(05). http://www.ijhssnet.com/journals/Vol_3_No_5_March_2013/25.pdf
- Veenman, S. (1995). Cognitive and noncognitive effects of multigrade and multi-age classes: A best-evidence synthesis. *Review of Educational Research*, 65(4), 319-381. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543065004319
- Vicente, R. F. (2012). *The challenges of multigrade teaching* [Online]. Retrieved from https://www.slideshare.net/Pilmathe2001/the-challenges-of-multigrade-teaching
- Wang, L. (2012). A survey on work stress and job satisfaction of primary and junior high school teachers. International Conference on Technology and Management Lecture Notes in Information Technology, 21.

- Wang, P., Chu, P., Wang, J., Pan, R., Sun, Y., Yan, M., Jiao, L., Zhan, X., & Zhang, D. (2020). Association between job stress and organizational commitment in three types of Chinese university teachers: Mediating effects of job burnout and job satisfaction. Frontiers in Psychology, 11, 576768. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.576768
- Weiss, H., & Copranzano, R. (1996). Affective events theory: A theoretical discussion of the structure, cause and consequences of affective experiences at work. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 18, 1-74. Retrieved from https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1996-98665-001
- Yew, S. H., Keane, L. M. J., Haw, Y. X. & Gan, S. K. E. (2015). The Association between perceived stress, life satisfaction, optimism, and physical health in the Singapore Asian context. *Asian Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 3(1), 56-66. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/273913955
- Zhongshan, Z. (2007). Study of job satisfaction among elementary school teachers in Shanghai. *Chinese Education and Society, 40*(5), 40-46. https://doi.org/10.2753/CED1061-1932400505