

A theoretical framework for understanding the effective educator: Defining characteristics, practices, and implications

Leonidas Gavrilas ^{1*} , Konstantinos T. Kotsis ¹ 

¹Department of Primary Education, University of Ioannina, Ioannina, GREECE

*Corresponding Author: l.gavrilas@uoi.gr

Citation: Gavrilas, L., & Kotsis, K. T. (2026). A theoretical framework for understanding the effective educator: Defining characteristics, practices, and implications. *International Journal of Professional Development, Learners and Learning*, 8(1), e2602. <https://doi.org/10.30935/ijpdll/17678>

ABSTRACT

Teacher effectiveness is central to contemporary educational discourse, with research indicating that effective educators enhance student achievement, deeper learning, and social-emotional growth. This paper presents a framework distinguishing the characteristics, pedagogical approaches, and professional development that define effective teaching. While capable educators exhibit subject-matter expertise and basic instructional competence, effective educators transform these competencies into measurable gains in student engagement, well-being, and achievement. The discussion highlights essential traits such as robust content knowledge, diverse instructional strategies, purposeful assessment, and the creation of positive learning environments. It also emphasizes stakeholder collaboration, reflective practice, and continuous professional growth. This paper underscores the critical distinction between mere capability and genuine effectiveness by situating educator effectiveness within a broader context of cognitive activation and a supportive climate. This distinction highlights the educator's role in fostering problem-solving skills and lifelong learning. It advocates for ongoing research, professional development, and institutional support to empower educators in fostering meaningful student learning and long-term academic success.

Keywords: effective educator, teacher competence, instructional practices, professional development, learning environment

Received: 10 Feb. 2025 • Accepted: 05 Dec. 2025

INTRODUCTION

An educational system's success and capacity to meet the evolving needs of learners depend heavily on the presence of highly effective educators across all levels of schooling. Research has consistently affirmed that students who benefit from instruction by effective teachers achieve tremendous academic success, exhibit higher levels of engagement, and develop stronger motivation for learning (Bardach & Klassen, 2020; Hattie, 2008; Tomaszewski et al., 2022). Beyond fostering cognitive outcomes, effective educators also encourage the holistic growth of students by promoting creativity, problem-solving skills, collaboration, and emotional well-being (Marzano et al., 2003). They craft learning experiences that motivate learners to participate actively, think critically, and extend their understanding beyond the immediate curriculum.

Effectiveness in teaching does not solely arise from the transmission of content knowledge but also from the teacher's ability to adapt instruction to varied student needs, cultivate a supportive learning climate, and employ diverse strategies that stimulate deep engagement (Bardach & Klassen, 2020; Gontas et al., 2021; Tomlinson, 1999). Effective teachers thus go beyond expertise in a single domain to

encompass a broad repertoire of professional attributes and instructional skills. Their influence extends to shaping positive social-emotional contexts and encouraging students' self-regulation and autonomy. Moreover, such educators embrace continual professional development, remain informed about field changes, and adopt the latest evidence-based practices (Amerstorfer & Freiin von Münster-Kistner, 2021; Desimone, 2009; Gavrilas & Kotsis, 2025a; Kong, 2021; Kotsis & Gavrilas, 2025).

While these dimensions highlight the pivotal role teachers play in student learning, they also raise a key distinction: There is a difference between a capable educator—someone with strong subject knowledge and functional pedagogical aptitude—and an effective educator who leverages these competencies to yield substantive learning gains and wide-ranging developmental benefits for learners (Avalos, 2011). The present paper proposes a theoretical framework that illuminates effective educators' attributes, practices, and orientations. The discussion draws on seminal and contemporary scholarships to examine how teacher knowledge, pedagogical strategies, classroom environment, assessment, collaboration, professionalism, and continuous development converge to form the essence of effectiveness in teaching.

The paper is structured first to examine the conceptual underpinnings of a “capable educator,” focusing on mastery of content and fundamental pedagogical skills. It then explores the more complex domain of effectiveness by outlining the theoretical constructions that define the effective educator, from subject-matter expertise to the deliberate creation of cognitively stimulating tasks and supportive environments. Finally, it addresses how professional development and reflective practice underpin educators’ ongoing transformation from capable to genuinely adequate. By combining multiple strands of educational research, this paper aims to offer a cohesive perspective on what characterizes educators whose work has the most substantial, most enduring impact on student outcomes.

THE CAPABLE EDUCATOR: FOUNDATIONAL KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS

The concept of the capable educator hinges upon the fundamental knowledge and skills teachers must possess to deliver basic instruction and guide students in understanding curricular content. Subject-matter expertise is an essential underpinning of teaching competence, as teachers must thoroughly comprehend the content to present it accurately and confidently answer student queries (Shulman, 1986). Teachers also require pedagogical skills that enable them to structure lessons, manage classrooms, and respond adaptively to the emerging needs of learners (Gavrilas & Kotsis, 2025d; Marzano et al., 2003). In this regard, a “capable educator” describes an individual who can plan lessons that meet the formal requirements of the curriculum, implement standardized instructional strategies, and maintain an orderly classroom environment.

In addition to competence in subject matter and standard pedagogy, capable educators demonstrate competence in classroom management, which fosters a safe and structured environment for learning (Shulman, 1986). They also maintain professional relationships with students, creating a sense of trust and rapport that, at a minimum, prevents disruptions and encourages adherence to routine classroom norms. Capable teachers manage daily responsibilities effectively, from grading student work to communicating with parents, ensuring the educational system functions smoothly.

Fundamentally, a capable educator has three key pillars: knowledge of subject matter, pedagogical methods, and the organizational acumen to carry out routine educational tasks (Marzano et al., 2003). Beyond these bases, a capable educator recognizes the importance of continuous learning for maintaining up-to-date instructional methods. Such educators participate minimally in professional development events to stay current with curriculum reforms and basic technological tools. They can thus meet essential standards of teaching quality and engage a broad spectrum of learners at a functional level (Avalos, 2011).

However, this baseline competence does not necessarily translate into the more profound effectiveness dimension. While capable teachers effectively convey information and maintain discipline, effectiveness emerges more distinctly in the degree to which teachers go further: generating instructional innovation, inspiring deeper student engagement, and achieving tangible learning outcomes beyond minimal benchmarks. The following sections delve into how a theoretical framework of effectiveness addresses the broader, more impactful competencies that lift teacher practice from mere capability to a sustained positive influence on student development.

THE THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK OF THE EFFECTIVE EDUCATOR

Foundations of the Effective Educator

The theoretical framework for understanding the effective educator underscores the multifaceted nature of teaching and identifies specific elements that shape students’ success. Effective teaching can be conceptualized through three interconnected domains: the capacity for classroom management, the ability to foster a supportive climate, and the promotion of cognitive activation among students (Baumert et al., 2010). These dimensions reflect how an effective educator must structure the learning environment to minimize disruptions and guide learners toward challenging, higher-level tasks that cultivate sophisticated forms of reasoning (Baumert et al., 2010; Gavrilas & Kotsis, 2025c; Teig et al., 2024).

Effective classroom management ensures that the teaching space remains organized, with routines and norms enabling students to concentrate on learning. A supportive climate promotes emotional security, respect, and cooperative relationships, thus empowering students to participate actively and take intellectual risks (Marzano et al., 2003). Meanwhile, cognitive activation involves pedagogical practices that require learners to analyze, synthesize, and evaluate knowledge rather than memorization alone (Vieluf & Klieme, 2023). By attending to these dimensions, educators build dynamic environments where students gain foundational content knowledge and advanced thinking skills.

Key Attributes of Effective Teachers

Numerous empirical studies point to a constellation of attributes that typify effective educators. These include a deep understanding of the content they teach, the facility to reframe or scaffold this content for diverse learners, proficiency in classroom leadership, adeptness in assessment and feedback, and the willingness to cooperate with colleagues and stakeholders to improve student outcomes (Duta et al., 2015; Tomlinson, 1999). Moreover, teachers who master these attributes do not simply transmit knowledge but challenge students to reflect, solve problems, and connect classroom learning to broader contexts (Lupascu et al., 2014; Marzano et al., 2003).

One foundational attribute is a nuanced knowledge of students’ academic and developmental trajectories. Holding disciplinary knowledge without understanding how learners approach that knowledge in practice is insufficient. Teachers must adapt instructional materials, methods, and pacing to match learners’ diverse backgrounds and readiness levels (Shulman, 1986). This adaptive capacity requires studying theories of learning (Piaget, 1952; Vygotsky & Cole, 1978) and applying them to plan lessons that prompt learners to move from their current level of understanding to more advanced conceptual frames (Black & Wiliam, 1998; Ko & Sammons, 2013). Such targeted support increases student motivation, deeper engagement, and stronger performance (Bock et al., 2024; Chiba et al., 2021).

Effective educators also draw upon robust content knowledge to design interactive lessons. This content knowledge is made “pedagogically useful” when teachers employ appropriate examples, visual aids, group activities, or real-world applications (Loewenberg Ball et al., 2008; Shulman, 1986). The ability to harness technology, including interactive software or online resources, further amplifies these advantages by providing immediacy of feedback, multimodal

explanations, and enhanced data-tracking systems (Brunetti et al., 2024; Gavrilas et al., 2022; Lohr et al., 2024; Mishra & Koehler, 2006; Moses et al., 2006). Teachers embed collaborative exercises, inquiry-driven tasks, and problem-based investigations that activate students' higher-order thinking by focusing on a carefully structured, flexible instructional design (Forsler et al., 2024; Papanikolaou et al., 2021; Wiggins & McTighe, 2008).

Another common thread is skillful classroom management. Although simpler forms of classroom control suffice for capable teachers to limit disorder, effective educators strategically use their classroom management to cultivate trust, inclusivity, and productive participation. Rather than merely curbing undesired behaviors, they set up routines and positive expectations that channel students' energies into constructive endeavors (Emmer & Stough, 2001; Leinhardt et al., 1987). Additionally, their awareness of the physical environment—lighting, seating arrangements, displays—contributes to an atmosphere conducive to student focus (Cheryan et al., 2014; Earthman, 2004).

The social dimension is equally pivotal. Effective teachers build an ethos of mutual respect, celebrating cultural diversity and social-emotional needs, which fosters a sense of belonging and community (Jabeen, 2020; Gay, 2010; Marvi, 2023; Spinthourakis et al., 2012). Consistent, respectful interactions nurture students' confidence and willingness to engage in academic risk-taking. The synergy between structured routines and respectful, caring relationships forms the cornerstone of a supportive and stimulating classroom.

Assessment of Student Learning

Assessment is integral to the theoretical framework of effective teaching because it directly shapes how teachers monitor student progress, adapt instruction, and provide meaningful feedback. Effective teachers employ a variety of assessment strategies, such as formative assessments and diagnostic evaluations, to gauge what students already know and where they require additional assistance (Jimaa, 2011; Fischer et al., 2024; Fuentealba, 2011; Kaushik, 2021). Formative assessment, in particular, allows for ongoing feedback and adjustments during the teaching process, fostering a learning culture that views errors as opportunities for growth (Black & Wiliam, 1998). Summative assessments, on the other hand, measure students' overall achievement after a unit, course, or term (Brown, 2022; Guskey, 2003; Morris et al., 2021; Schellekens et al., 2023).

Effective educators often integrate alternative or performance-based assessments to accommodate the varied ways students can demonstrate understanding, including portfolios, presentations, or projects (Fox, 2016; Gavrilas et al., 2025b; Kotsis, 2025; Libman, 2010; Stiggins, 2007). This diversity in assessment captures a fuller spectrum of student skills and increases engagement by allowing more creative expression. Furthermore, effective teachers diligently examine assessment data and use these insights to refine their instructional strategies. This cyclical process of reflection, feedback, and instructional adjustment fosters a dynamic classroom environment that continually addresses students' evolving needs (Duckor & Holmberg, 2023; Hattie & Timperley, 2007; Jabrouni et al., 2011).

Professionalism, Collaboration, and the Broader School Community

Professional conduct and collaboration are hallmarks of teachers who achieve sustained effectiveness. Effective educators align themselves with principles of ethical practice, accountability, and

commitment to ongoing self-improvement (Hargreaves & Fullan, 2012). They frequently collaborate with colleagues, administrators, and external experts to exchange ideas, adopt new teaching technologies, and evaluate curriculum designs (Díaz-Sacco & Muñoz-Salinas, 2024; Pozas & Letzel-Alt, 2023; Vangrieken et al., 2015). This collegial spirit fosters a unified approach to student learning, ensuring coherence in teaching methods and sharing best practices across grade levels or subject areas (Goddard et al., 2007).

Participation in professional learning communities (PLCs) allows teachers to critique one another's lessons, discuss research findings, and cultivate innovative pedagogical techniques. Such communities encourage a reflective, research-informed culture, augmenting teacher expertise (Antinluoma et al., 2021; Harris & Jones, 2010; Hudson, 2024; Stoll et al., 2006). Additionally, effective teachers place importance on maintaining constructive relationships with parents, guardians, and community stakeholders. By regularly communicating student progress, offering suggestions for at-home support, and involving families in educational activities, teachers deepen the support network that underpins academic success (Adams et al., 2016; Anderson-Butcher et al., 2022; DePetris & Eames, 2017; Henderson & Mapp, 2002; Ovati et al., 2024).

These collaborative facets transcend the constraints of individual classrooms and reflect a systemic approach to education. When effective educators harness collective energy and resources, they generate synergistic benefits: Students receive consistent messages, cross-disciplinary connections are reinforced, and a positive school culture emerges that celebrates continuous improvement.

Continuous Professional Development

Teachers who exhibit sustained effectiveness typically engage in an ongoing cycle of professional development (AbdulRab, 2023; Postholm, 2018). They recognize that teaching strategies, student needs, and educational technologies evolve over time and that remaining effective necessitates active learning and reflection. They remain responsive to curricular reforms, technological advances, and shifting student demographics by updating their methods and knowledge base. In this sense, professional development is not a peripheral activity but a core component of maintaining and elevating teaching quality (Gavrilas et al., 2024; Guskey, 2002).

Effective professional development programs encourage collegial activities like lesson study, coaching, and practitioner research. In PLC, teachers share lesson plans, discuss the impact of particular teaching interventions, and devise solutions to classroom challenges (Harris & Jones, 2010; Hudson, 2024). In addition, educators can partake in self-directed learning, reading scholarly articles, pursuing online courses, or experimenting with new pedagogical tools that address gaps or inefficiencies in their practice (Prasad Bhatt, 2021). This mode of self-guided exploration aligns well with teachers' individual interests and developmental pathways (Avalos, 2011).

Effective educators refine their craft through iterative engagement with new ideas, feedback from mentors or peers, and systematic reflection. They adopt novel methods when these show promises for enhancing student engagement or comprehension and discard or adapt approaches that fail to meet desired outcomes. Professional development, thus, underpins the dynamic and responsive dimension of effective teaching, fostering an environment in which educators continuously adapt and strive for excellence.

DISTINGUISHING THE CAPABLE EDUCATOR FROM THE EFFECTIVE EDUCATOR

Foundations and Limitations of Capability

As discussed earlier, capable educators demonstrate essential proficiency in their subject areas, possess basic pedagogical competencies, and uphold classroom order. Their knowledge aligns with curricular standards, and they typically manage routine instructional tasks reliably. However, they may not always translate these foundational skills into learning experiences that provoke higher-order thinking or deep engagement (Shulman, 1987). Their teaching might satisfy formal criteria for lesson delivery and fidelity to curricular objectives but can lack the dynamism and responsiveness characteristic of truly transformative instruction (Darling-Hammond, 2000; Gavrilas et al., 2025a).

Capable educators also sometimes struggle to adapt swiftly to diverse student needs or to foster a strong sense of agency within learners. Their professional growth, while present, may be minimal or primarily oriented toward meeting mandated requirements. In short, capability corresponds to functional adequacy—teachers can deliver content, maintain discipline, and administer assessments. However, they might not realize the full potential of instructional practices in promoting creativity, inquiry, or autonomy among students (Hattie, 2008).

Elements of True Effectiveness

In contrast, effective educators operate their foundational knowledge in ways that produce tangible, lasting improvements in student performance, motivation, and mindset (Hattie & Timperley, 2007; Jabrouni et al., 2011). They incorporate diversified instructional strategies that resonate with varied learning profiles, encourage reflective practice, and cultivate problem-solving skills applicable in real-world contexts. Effective teachers mobilize various techniques—from cognitively activating tasks to collaborative group work—that consistently deepen student understanding and spark intellectual curiosity (Bardach & Klassen, 2020; Gavrilas & Kotsis, 2024; Tomlinson, 1999).

Effective educators also excel at forging strong relationships with students, sustaining a safe and inclusive classroom climate, and maintaining a rigorous academic environment that challenges learners to exceed baseline standards (Darling-Hammond, 2000). Their approach to assessment is similarly dynamic, employing formative methods and alternative evaluations so that students receive frequent, constructive feedback. This fosters a culture where learners identify learning goals, reflect on their achievements, and partake in self-improvement (Duckor & Holmberg, 2023). Effective educators actively cultivate growth mindsets, normalizing effort, resilience, and adaptability.

Crucially, the effective educator engages in continual self-reflection and professional growth, using collaboration and new research findings to refine pedagogical approaches (Guskey, 2002). They remain attentive to the sociocultural dimensions of the classroom, embracing diversity and acknowledging how social and cultural factors shape learning experiences (Gay, 2010; Marvi, 2023; Papanikolaou et al., 2023; Spinthourakis et al., 2012). By consistently putting these considerations into practice, they move from mere capability—knowing how to teach or maintain classroom routines—to the robust realm of effectiveness,

wherein student outcomes extend beyond short-term knowledge acquisition to include broad-based cognitive, emotional, and social development.

The Path from Capability to Effectiveness

Advancing from capable to effective educator is neither linear nor guaranteed. Teachers may possess strong content knowledge yet struggle to design cognitively challenging activities. Alternatively, some educators excel in classroom management and organization but may not foster in-depth problem-solving. The transition to effectiveness hinges on a reflective mindset, in which teachers systematically evaluate and adapt their methods based on student feedback and learning data (Hattie & Timperley, 2007; Gavrilas & Kotsis, 2025b; Jabrouni et al., 2011). It also requires meaningful professional development experiences integrating peer collaboration, coaching, and exposure to innovative pedagogical frameworks (Guskey, 2002).

This progression is further supported by institutional culture. Schools that facilitate collective inquiry and respect teacher agency often witness a more rapid evolution of capable teachers into truly effective practitioners (Hargreaves & Fullan, 2012). On the other hand, environments that overemphasize rigid prescriptions, rely on outdated assessment methods or undervalue teacher autonomy may hinder this professional trajectory. Effectiveness thus emerges at the intersection of teacher agency, collaborative support, and a guiding framework of reflective practice that ensures the continuous enhancement of instruction.

CONCLUSION

The theoretical framework of the effective educator emphasizes that teaching prowess transcends mere subject expertise and generic pedagogical skills. While capable educators can deliver instruction that meets basic curricular standards, effective educators leverage these foundational elements to orchestrate environments where students learn deeply, engage enthusiastically, and grow holistically. Through the strategic integration of knowledge of learners, content mastery, skillful instructional design, adaptive assessment, positive classroom climates, and continuous professional growth, effective educators cultivate learning experiences that leave a lasting impact on student achievement, motivation, and resilience.

The paper's examination of a range of research underscores that effectiveness in teaching evolves through an interplay of personal commitment, active collaboration, and institutional support. Teachers who systematically reflect on their practices, draw upon data-driven insights, remain abreast of educational research, and embrace collaborative networks stand a higher chance of converting capability into enduring effectiveness. Further, attention to the social and cultural dimensions of the classroom fosters inclusiveness, ensuring that all students feel valued and empowered to learn.

In bridging the gap between capability and effectiveness, educators anchor their work on the conviction that teaching is an iterative, ever-developing craft. The constant interplay between pedagogical knowledge, subject expertise, reflective practice, and a willingness to adapt to diverse learning needs marks the essence of the effective educator. Schools and educational systems can support more teachers in making this transformation by sustaining robust professional development opportunities, building supportive collaborations, and

endorsing ethical and reflective mindsets. Given the sweeping evidence that teacher effectiveness profoundly influences learner outcomes, investing in such frameworks remains an educational imperative.

Author contributions: Both authors contributed to the conception, design, data collection, interpretation, writing, and critical revision of this review article. Both authors approved the final version of the article.

Funding: The authors received no financial support for the research and/or authorship of this article.

Ethics declaration: The authors stated that the study does not require any ethical approval since it was based on existing literature.

AI statement: The authors declared that AI-based tools were used exclusively for linguistic revision and stylistic refinement. The literature selection, critical synthesis, interpretation, and all conclusions are solely the authors' responsibility.

Declaration of interest: The authors declare no competing interest.

Data availability: Data generated or analyzed during this study are available from the authors on request.

REFERENCES

AbdulRab, H. (2023). Teacher professional development in the 21st century. *African Journal of Education and Practice*, 9(4), 39-50. <https://doi.org/10.47604/ajep.2237>

Adams, D., Harris, A., & Jones, M. S. (2016). Teacher-parent collaboration for an inclusive classroom: Success for every child. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Sciences*, 4(3), 58-71.

Amerstorfer, C. M., & Freiin von Münster-Kistner, C. (2021). Student perceptions of academic engagement and student-teacher relationships in problem-based learning. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.713057>

Anderson-Butcher, D., Bates, S., Lawson, H. A., Childs, T. M., & Iachini, A. L. (2022). The community collaboration model for school improvement: A scoping review. *Education Sciences*, 12(12), Article 918. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12120918>

Antinluoma, M., Ilomäki, L., & Toom, A. (2021). Practices of professional learning communities. *Frontiers in Education*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.617613>

Avalos, B. (2011). Teacher professional development in teaching and teacher education over ten years. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 27(1), 10-20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2010.08.007>

Bardach, L., & Klassen, R. M. (2020). Smart teachers, successful students? A systematic review of the literature on teachers' cognitive abilities and teacher effectiveness. *Educational Research Review*, 30, Article 100312. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2020.100312>

Baumert, J., Kunter, M., Blum, W., Brunner, M., Voss, T., Jordan, A., Klusmann, U., Krauss, S., Neubrand, M., & Tsai, Y.-M. (2010). Teachers' mathematical knowledge, cognitive activation in the classroom, and student progress. *American Educational Research Journal*, 47(1), 133-180. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831209345157>

Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 5(1), 7-74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969595980050102>

Bock, T., Thomm, E., Bauer, J., & Gold, B. (2024). Fostering student teachers' research-based knowledge of effective feedback. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 47(2), 389-407. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2024.2338841>

Brown, G. T. L. (2022). The past, present and future of educational assessment: A transdisciplinary perspective. *Frontiers in Education*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.1060633>

Brunetti, A., Büchel, K., Jakob, M., Jann, B., & Steffen, D. (2024). Inadequate teacher content knowledge and what could be done about it: Evidence from El Salvador. *Journal of Development Effectiveness*, 16(2), 206-229. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19439342.2023.2213681>

Cheryan, S., Ziegler, S. A., Plaut, V. C., & Meltzoff, A. N. (2014). Designing classrooms to maximize student achievement. *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 1(1), 4-12. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2372732214548677>

Chiba, M., Sustarsic, M., Perriton, S., & Edwards, D. B. (2021). Investigating effective teaching and learning for sustainable development and global citizenship: Implications from a systematic review of the literature. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 81, Article 102337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2020.102337>

Darling-Hammond, L. (2000). Teacher quality and student achievement. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 8, Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.v8n1.2000>

DePetris, T., & Eames, C. (2017). A collaborative community education model: Developing effective school-community partnerships. *Australian Journal of Environmental Education*, 33(3), 171-188. <https://doi.org/10.1017/aee.2017.26>

Desimone, L. M. (2009). Improving impact studies of teachers' professional development: Toward better conceptualizations and measures. *Educational Researcher*, 38(3), 181-199. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X08331140>

Díaz-Sacco, A., & Muñoz-Salinas, Y. (2024). Conditions and opportunities for teacher collaboration during the implementation of a professional development programme. *Professional Development in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2024.2405617>

Duckor, B., & Holmberg, C. L. (2023). *Feedback for continuous improvement in the classroom: New perspectives, practices, and possibilities*. Corwin Press.

Duta, N., Tomoaica, E., & Panisoara, G. (2015). Desirable characteristics defining to describe an effective teacher. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 197, 1223-1229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.07.383>

Earthman, G. I. (2004). *Prioritization of 31 criteria for school building adequacy*. American Civil Liberties Union Foundation of Maryland.

Emmer, E. T., & Stough, L. M. (2001). Classroom management: A critical part of educational psychology, with implications for teacher education. *Educational Psychologist*, 36(2), 103-112. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15326985EP3602_5

Fischer, J., Bearman, M., Boud, D., & Tai, J. (2024). How does assessment drive learning? A focus on students' development of evaluative judgement. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 49(2), 233-245. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2023.2206986>

Forsler, A., Nilsson, P., & Walan, S. (2024). Capturing and developing teachers' pedagogical content knowledge in sustainable development using content representation and video-based reflection. *Research in Science Education*, 54(3), 393-412. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-023-10149-y>

Fox, J. (2016). Using portfolios for assessment/alternative assessment. In E. Shohamy, I. G. Or, & S. May (Eds.), *Language testing and assessment* (pp. 1-13). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-02326-7_9-1

Fuentealba, C. (2011). The role of assessment in the student learning process. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Education*, 38(2), 157-162. <https://doi.org/10.3138/jvme.38.2.157>

Gavrilas, L., & Kotsis, K. T. (2024). Investigating perceptions of primary and preschool educators regarding incorporation of educational robotics into STEM education. *Contemporary Mathematics and Science Education*, 5(1), Article ep24003. <https://doi.org/10.30935/conmaths/14384>

Gavrilas, L., & Kotsis, K. T. (2025a). A theoretical framework for the effective STEM educator: Integrating literacy, knowledge, collaboration, and self-efficacy. *Journal of Mathematics and Science Teacher*, 5(4), Article em085. <https://doi.org/10.29333/mathsciteacher/16857>

Gavrilas, L., & Kotsis, K. T. (2025b). Development and validation of a survey instrument towards attitude, knowledge, and application of educational robotics (AKAER). *International Journal of Research & Method in Education*, 48(1), 44-66. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1743727X.2024.2358780>

Gavrilas, L., & Kotsis, K. T. (2025c). Integrating learning theories and innovative pedagogies in STEM education: A comprehensive review. *Eurasian Journal of Science and Environmental Education*, 5(1), 11-17. <https://doi.org/10.30935/ejsee/16538>

Gavrilas, L., & Kotsis, K. T. (2025d). Teachers as facilitators and innovators in 21st-century STEM education. *Contemporary Mathematics and Science Education*, 6(2), Article ep25014. <https://doi.org/10.30935/conmaths/17245>

Gavrilas, L., Kotsis, K. T., & Papanikolaou, M.-S. (2022). Attitudes and behaviors of university students towards electromagnetic radiation of cell phones and wireless networks. *Aquademia*, 6(2), Article ep22009. <https://doi.org/10.30935/aquademia/12393>

Gavrilas, L., Kotsis, K. T., & Papanikolaou, M.-S. (2024). Assessing teacher readiness for educational robotics integration in primary and preschool education. *Education 3-13*, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03004279.2023.2300699>

Gavrilas, L., Kotsis, K. T., & Papanikolaou, M.-S. (2025a). The challenges encountered by preschool and primary educators in implementing educational robotics. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 1-29. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02635143.2025.2578308>

Gavrilas, L., Papanikolaou, M.-S., & Kotsis, K. T. (2025b). Exploring electricity in early childhood education: A 5E-based learning approach. *Science Activities*, 62(1), 53-94. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00368121.2024.2406208>

Gay, G. (2010). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice* (2nd ed). Teachers College.

Goddard, Y. L., Goddard, R. D., & Tschannen-Moran, M. (2007). A theoretical and empirical investigation of teacher collaboration for school improvement and student achievement in public elementary schools. *Teachers College Record: The Voice of Scholarship in Education*, 109(4), 877-896. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016146810710900401>

Gontas, P., Gavrilas, L., & Kotsis, K. T. (2021). Prospective teachers' perceptions of renewable energy sources. *Science and Technology Issues in Education*, 14, 37-48.

Guskey, T. R. (2002). Professional development and teacher change. *Teachers and Teaching*, 8(3), 381-391. <https://doi.org/10.1080/135406002100000512>

Guskey, T. R. (2003). What makes professional development effective? *Phi Delta Kappan*, 84(10), 748-750. <https://doi.org/10.1177/003172170308401007>

Hargreaves, A., & Fullan, M. (2012). *Professional capital: Transforming teaching in every school (unabridged)*. Blackstone Audio.

Harris, A., & Jones, M. (2010). Professional learning communities and system improvement. *Improving Schools*, 13(2), 172-181. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1365480210376487>

Hattie, J. (2008). *Visible learning. A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203887332>

Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81-112. <https://doi.org/10.3102/003465430298487>

Henderson, A. T., & Mapp, K. L. (2002). *A new wave of evidence: The impact of school, family, and community connections on student achievement. Annual synthesis*, 2002. National Center for Family & Community Connections with Schools.

Hudson, C. (2024). A conceptual framework for understanding effective professional learning community (PLC) operation in schools. *Journal of Education*, 204(3), 649-659. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00220574231197364>

Jabeen, R. (2020). *Multicultural diverse classroom addressing the instructional challenges and reflections, from a teacher's perspective*. SSRN. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3512515>

Jabrouni, H., Kamsu-Foguem, B., Geneste, L., & Vaysse, C. (2011). Continuous improvement through knowledge-guided analysis in experience feedback. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 24(8), 1419-1431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2011.02.015>

Jimaa, S. (2011). The impact of assessment on students learning. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 28, 718-721. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.11.133>

Kaushik, A. (2021). Improving learning: Reconsidering student assessment globally. In S. Ra, S. Jagannathan, & R. Maclean (Eds.), *Powering a learning society during an age of disruption* (pp. 47-61). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-0983-1_4

Ko, J., & Sammons, P. (2013). *Effective teaching: A review of research and evidence*. CfBT Education Trust.

Kong, Y. (2021). The role of experiential learning on students' motivation and classroom engagement. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.771272>

Kotsis, K. T. (2025). Optimal STEM educators for elementary school: Students from the primary education vs. Science department. *EIKI Journal of Effective Teaching Methods*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.59652/jetm.v3i1.360>

Kotsis, K. T., & Gavrilas, L. (2025). Review of scientific literacy of pre-service teachers on electromagnetic radiation. *European Journal of Contemporary Education and E-Learning*, 3(1), 55-64. [https://doi.org/10.59324/ejceel.2025.3\(1\).05](https://doi.org/10.59324/ejceel.2025.3(1).05)

Leinhardt, G., Weidman, C., & Hammond, K. M. (1987). Introduction and integration of classroom routines by expert teachers. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 17(2), 135-176. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03626784.1987.11075284>

Libman, Z. (2010). Alternative assessment in higher education: An experience in descriptive statistics. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 36(1-2), 62-68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2010.01.002>

Loewenberg Ball, D., Thames, M. H., & Phelps, G. (2008). Content knowledge for teaching: What makes it special? *Journal of Teacher Education*, 59(5), 389-407. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487108324554>

Lohr, A., Sailer, M., Stadler, M., & Fischer, F. (2024). Digital learning in schools: Which skills do teachers need, and who should bring their own devices? *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 152, Article 104788. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2024.104788>

Lupascu, A. R., Pânișoară, G., & Pânișoară, I.-O. (2014). Characteristics of effective teacher. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 127, 534-538. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.03.305>

Marvi, K. (2023). Impact of cultural diversity in classrooms of secondary schools. *Creative Education*, 14(1), 197-211. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2023.141014>

Marzano, R. J., Marzano, J. S., & Pickering, D. (2003). *Classroom management that works: Research-based strategies for every teacher*. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers College Record: The Voice of Scholarship in Education*, 108(6), 1017-1054. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9620.2006.00684.x>

Morris, R., Perry, T., & Wardle, L. (2021). Formative assessment and feedback for learning in higher education: A systematic review. *Review of Education*, 9(3), Article e3292. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3292>

Moses, G., Ingham, B., Barnicle, K., Blanchard, J., Cheetham, J., Courier, S., DeVos, E., Immendorf, M., Litzkow, M., Svarovsky, G., & Wolf, A. (2006). Effective teaching with technology. In *Proceedings of the Frontiers in Education Conference* (pp. 7-11). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FIE.2006.322286>

Ovati, T. S. R., Rydland, V., Grøver, V., & Lekhal, R. (2024). Teacher perceptions of parent collaboration in multi-ethnic ECEC settings. *Frontiers in Education*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1340295>

Papanikolaou, M.-S., Gavrilas, L., & Kotsis, K. T. (2023). Enhancing pre-school students' understanding of water pollution through educational intervention. In G. Stylos, & K. T. Kotsis (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 13th Panhellenic Conference on Education in Natural Sciences and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.12681/codiste.5570>

Papanikolaou, M.-S., Plakitsi, K., Gavrilas, L., & Kotsis, K. T. (2021). Investigating preschool students' ideas for science concepts on understanding modern environmental problems. In *Proceedings of the 12th Panhellenic Conference on the Teaching of Natural Sciences and New Technologies in Education: "The Role of Science Education in 21st Century Society"*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.33312.15369>

Piaget, J. (1952). *The origins of intelligence in children*. W. W. Norton & Company. <https://doi.org/10.1037/11494-000>

Postholm, M. B. (2018). Teachers' professional development in school: A review study. *Cogent Education*, 5(1), Article 1522781. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2018.1522781>

Pozas, M., & Letzel-Alt, V. (2023). Teacher collaboration, inclusive education and differentiated instruction: A matter of exchange, co-construction, or synchronization? *Cogent Education*, 10(2), Article 2240941. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2240941>

Prasad Bhatt, S. (2021). Self-directed professional development: Efl teachers' understanding. *International Journal of Language and Literary Studies*, 3(4), 196-208. <https://doi.org/10.36892/ijlls.v3i4.737>

Schellekens, L. H., Kremer, W. D. J., Van der Schaaf, M. F., Van der Vleuten, C. P. M., & Bok, H. G. J. (2023). Between theory and practice: Educators' perceptions on assessment quality criteria and its impact on student learning. *Frontiers in Education*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2023.1147213>

Shulman, L. (1986). Those who understand: Knowledge growth in teaching. *Educational Researcher*, 15(2), 4-14. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X015002004>

Shulman, L. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: foundations of the new reform. *Harvard Educational Review*, 57(1), 1-23. <https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.57.1.j463w79r56455411>

Spinthourakis, J. A., Lalor, J., & Berg, W. (Eds.). (2012). *Cultural diversity in the classroom*. VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-531-93494-5>

Stiggins, R. (2007). Assessment through the student's eyes. *Educational Leadership*, 64(8), 22-26.

Stoll, L., Bolam, R., McMahon, A., Wallace, M., & Thomas, S. (2006). Professional learning communities: A review of the literature. *Journal of Educational Change*, 7(4), 221-258. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10833-006-0001-8>

Teig, N., Nilsen, T., & Yang Hansen, K. (Eds.). (2024). *Effective and equitable teacher practice in mathematics and science education: A Nordic perspective across time and groups of students (v. 14)*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-49580-9>

Tomaszewski, W., Xiang, N., Huang, Y., Western, M., McCourt, B., & McCarthy, I. (2022). The impact of effective teaching practices on academic achievement when mediated by student engagement: Evidence from Australian high schools. *Education Sciences*, 12(5), Article 358. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12050358>

Tomlinson, C. A. (1999). *The differentiated classroom: Responding to the needs of all learners*. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Vangrieken, K., Dochy, F., Raes, E., & Kyndt, E. (2015). Teacher collaboration: A systematic review. *Educational Research Review*, 15, 17-40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2015.04.002>

Vieluf, S., & Klieme, E. (2023). Teaching effectiveness revisited through the lens of practice theories. In A.-K. Praetorius, & C. Y. Charalambous (Eds.), *Theorizing teaching: Current status and open issues* (pp. 57-95). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-25613-4_3

Vygotsky, L. S., & Cole, M. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.

Wiggins, G. P., & McTighe, J. (2008). *Understanding by design* (expanded 2nd ed.). Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.